

Applicants: Boroditsky, et al.
Application Serial No.: 10/747,804
Filing Date: December 29, 2003
Docket No.: 2003-0089 (1209-49)
Response to Non-Final Office Action mailed April 2, 2007
Page 12 of 13

REMARKS

Pursuant to the final Office Action mailed April 2, 2007, which has been carefully considered, and the recent telephone interview that took place on June 27, 2007, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration. To further the prosecution of this application, each of the issues raised in the non-final Office Action is addressed herein.

As Claims 11-33 have been withdrawn from consideration and Claim 2 has been cancelled, Claims 1, 3-10 and 34-38 are currently pending in this application. Applicants appreciate and acknowledge the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter. In particular, in the Office Action Claims 1, 3-10 and 34-38 would be allowed if amended to overcome the pending rejection under 35 U.S.C. §101.

By this Amendment, independent Claims 1 and 34 have been amended, primarily to further the prosecution of this matter. In particular, as agreed upon by the Examiner during today's telephone interview, the recitation of "generating" and "outputting" respectively, as proposed by the above amendments, would overcome the pending rejection under 35 U.S.C. §101. Thus, the application as now presented is believed to be in allowable condition.

These amendments were not made to limit the scope of the present invention nor were they made to distinguish the present invention from the art of record. No new matter was added to the specification, drawings or claims, as amended.

Additionally, Applicants once again respectfully request that the Examiner consider the Second Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on June 2, 2006. This IDS was not listed or considered as part of either the June 5, 2006 or April 2, 2007 Office Actions that subsequently issued.

Applicants: Boroditsky, et al.
Application Serial No.: 10/747,804
Filing Date: December 29, 2003
Docket No.: 2003-0089 (1209-49)
Response to Non-Final Office Action mailed April 2, 2007
Page 13 of 13

Entry of the amendments herein and favorable consideration of Claims 1, 3-10 and 34-38 are hereby solicited. In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, this application should now be in condition for allowance. A Notice to this effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would assist in moving the application toward allowance, he is respectfully invited to contact the Applicants' attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Tony A. Gayoso/
Tony A. Gayoso
Registration No.: 37,331
Attorney for Applicant(s)

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, New York 11791-4407
(516) 822-3550

TAG:
272739_2.DOC